

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/797,015	Applicant(s) MBACHU ET AL.	
	Examiner Monica A. Fontaine	Art Unit 1732	

All Participants:

(1) Monica A. Fontaine.

Maf

(2) Mary Breiner.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 March 2005

Time: ~3pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 5, 6

Prior art documents discussed:

Parigi

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner phoned Ms. Breiner to discuss amendments to the claims in order to place them in condition for allowance. Ms. Breiner faxed the examiner materials to support the clients' position that claim 1 is allowable without any further amendments. The examiner agreed to consider the new documents (cited on PTO-892 mailed herewith), and she will make a decision regarding the patentability of the claims in lieu of Ms. Breiner's fax and arguments..